

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,553	11/05/2003	Ayako Uji	01272.020640.	1053	
5514 7	590 05/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			MRUK, GEOFFREY S		
30 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TIEW TOTAL,			2853		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŀ	1	·f
		7

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/700,553	UJI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Geoffrey Mruk	2853

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Geoffrey Mruk	2853					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence	address				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any end a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be the AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal. Since				
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejecti	on, but prior to the date of	filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be enter	ed because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require furthe		rch (see NOTE below);	,				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE I	• .						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplif	ying the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	g a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR	` ''						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		e of Non-Compliant Amendn	nent (PTOL-324).				
5. Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would b non-allowable claim(s). 	de allowable it submitted in	a separate, timely filed amer	idment canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is	: a) ☐ will not be entered, provided below or append	or b) \square will be entered and ed.	an explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	•						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	n, but before or on the date d and sufficient reasons wh	of filing a Notice of Appeal w y the affidavit or other evider	rill <u>not</u> be entered nce is necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fi entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces 	to overcome all rejections	under appeal and/or appella	nt fails to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explan REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ation of the status of the c	aims after entry is below or a	ttached.				
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
2. 🗌 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:	•						
		OFFRI MAI A APIED	·				
	SU	STEPHEN MEIER PERVISORY PATENT EX	MINER				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 5 require further consideration and a new search.